

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,705	06	6/25/2003	Kent Harrison	10527-454001	3440
26161	7590	06/09/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RIC		ON PC	JOHNSON III, HENRY M		
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3739	

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>S/</i> a
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,705	HARRISON, KENT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 cFor after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. In reply within the statutory minimum of thirteriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2     This action is FINAL. 2b) □ 3     Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte	i i
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 35-43</u> is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6,19-22,37 and</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-18,23,24,35,36,38-41 and 4</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	1 <u>42</u> is/are withdrawn from con 1 <u>3</u> is/are rejected.	sideration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 011405.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 10/603,705

Art Unit: 3739

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 7-18, 23, 24, 35 and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The indicated allowability of claims 38 and 39 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to Lane et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Due to the new rejections, no generic claim is in condition for allowance so the restriction remains appropriate.

New claim 43 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 7-9, 35, 38, 39, 40 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,575,966 to Lane et al. Lane et al. disclose an elongated catheter device for endovascular insertion with a balloon at the distal end for directly cooling tissue (abstract). The balloon is inflated (deployed) using cooling medium to contact the tissue to be treated. The catheter is disclosed as having a guidewire for navigation through blood vessels to the treatment site (Col. 1, lines 25-30) which may be within a cardiac chamber (Col. 7, line 47) or cooling the ostium (col. 7, line 61). Lane et al. further disclose the use of phase change or expansion in the balloon for cooling (Col. 1, line 55).

Art Unit: 3739

Regarding claims 35, 38, 39, 40 and 43, the method of use is clearly inherent in the device structure and disclosure. The catheter is navigated through a blood vessel and the balloon is inflated (deployed) to cool the target tissue. It must be positioned properly prior to deployment in order to cool the tissue as disclosed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 10-18, 23, 36 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,575,966 to Lane et al. in view of U.S. Patent 5,799,661 to Boyd et al. Lane et al. are discussed above, but do not teach a deployable cooling structure with a pad like structure and a sheath longitudinally movable over the deployable structure. Boyd et al. teach a device for cooling tissue comprising an elongate shaft (Fig. 42, # 233) with a deployable cooling structure at its distal end (Fig. 42, # 231), delivered to the treatment site via a sheath (Fig. 42, # 239). The flexible heat exchanger (231) is collapsible to a pre-deployed position which can easily fit through an access port into the chest of the patient. The flexible heat exchanger is attached to the distal end of an elongated tubular shaft (233). An inflow lumen (234) extends through the tubular shaft and is fluidly connected to the flexible heat exchanger. A return lumen (235) extends through the tubular shaft. The proximal ends of the inflow lumen and the return lumen are adapted for attachment to a circulating pump and a reservoir of cooling fluid (Col. 21, lines 5-25). The flexible heat exchanger is interpreted as a patch and the shaft is longitudinally movable with the sheath. The flexible heat exchanger is made from two sheets of

Application/Control Number: 10/603,705

Art Unit: 3739

flexible plastic which are heat sealed or RF sealed together to form a serpentine cooling path (232) through the heat exchanger. Preferred materials for manufacturing the flexible heat exchanger 231 include polyurethane, vinyl, polypropylene, nylon, etc. The flexible heat exchanger may have a flexible backbone (frame) which extends from the distal end of the tubular shaft to the distal edge of the heat exchanger. The flexible backbone may be made from a flexible polymer, elastomer, or a resilient metal wire, such as spring temper stainless steel or a superelastic nickel/titanium alloy, or a composite of metal and plastic. The flexible heat exchanger is rolled, folded or twisted and placed in an introducer sheath 239 in the predeployed position (Col. 21, lines 25-41).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the deployable cooling structure as taught by Boyd et al in place of the deployable balloon of Lane et al. as an alternative equivalent deployable cooling means. The cooling of body tissue not easily accessible is common to both inventions, making it obvious to look to other inventions that teach delivery of cooling means to such inaccessible areas.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,575,966 to Lane et al. in view of U.S. Patent 5,799,661 to Boyd et al. Both are discussed above, but do not disclose dual patches. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an additional patch, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,575,966 to Lane et al. in view of U.S. Patent 5,799,661 to Boyd et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2004.0030259 to Dae et al. Lane et al. and Boyd et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,705 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

are discussed above, but do not teach a temperature sensor near the heat exchange area. Temperature sensors are well known and pervasive in the art as evidenced by the sensor of Dae et al. (abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a temperature sensor as taught by Dae et al. in the device

of Lane et al. as modified by Boyd et al. to monitor the process of cooling.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Heary M. Johnson, Ill

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739